

Serina T. Latzko
(DSI, Stuttgart)

1. about proposal writing

- a. My experience level was rather basic to newcomer in both proposal writing and my scientific work.
- b. I liked the possibility to choose between a latex and a word template, although the latex template didn't work after some figures I included. Suddenly having less space than in the word template is not that much fun. It was very nice to write the text in a sperate document and not into text boxes on a website. Over all, the procedure was easy to understand and with the right version of USPOT doable (in 2018 there was a problem with the needed version and the OS X on my laptop, so I wasn't able to do the AOR on my own).
- c. Coping with the small amount of pages you have to describe what you want to do.
- d. Considering the small amount of pages it would be helpful, if the TAC board would know the instruments and proposal procedure by heart. At least the basics as with respect to the very limited space, the first thing you shorten is explanations of footprints in your figures. Additionally, stable versions of USPOT for all platforms should be available at least a couple of days before the proposal deadline.
- e. A picture of how the paper would look like at the end was kind of helpful to write the content of the proposal as well as the comments in the templates about what should be written within the single paragraphs. The documents on the server with manuals and examples were also very helpful.
- f. I got help with the footprints and correct input in the AOR mask. The help was given immediatly and very detailed.
- g. Working with the AOR should be practised in advance, a check of the USPOT version is mandatory at least a couple of days in advance, but the procedure itself is easy to follow at the end. Be careful when working with the latex template.

2. about data reduction/working with data

- a. I had a basic experience level on data handling.
- b. Format and quality of the cubes I got was really good.
- c. Yes, I could use SOSPEX as mentioned on the website and Fluxer, as well as SAOImage ds9.
- d. The "cookbook" for SOSPEX is really good - at least I don't remember any difficulties with it so far. Considering Fluxer, I needed someone to explain the programme to me, as the help is not very useful for newcomers. A general problem with all programmes is to make "nice" pictures. With Fluxer you cannot reopen the fitted files and the colour bar is a problem on its own in SOAImage DS. Handling the data cubes on themselves, there are no problems.
- e. A mixture of the programmes mentioned above would be very nice.
- f. Didn't need it (but partly yes, as I learned the use of Fluxer by another occasion from them)
- g. Having a quick look at the data with the programmes mentioned above is easy if you know, how they work. Getting nice pictures for publications of any kind is a little bit difficult.